

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

8

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,303	09/21/2000	Johann-Peter Melder	46915 DIV	1946
75	90 02/13/2002			
Herbert B Keil Keil & Weinkauf 1101 Connecticut Avenue NW			EXAMINER	
			BARTS, SAMUEL A	
Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	2
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002	ン

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
		09/666,303	MELDER ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	\$1 AIAU DIO 24 \$2 \$4.4	Samuel A Barts	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON;	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □		is action is non-final.					
3)□	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is osed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-22 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
_	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(		- p 2					
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/666,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 26-34 have been renumbered to 14-22.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with indefinite language. For example:

- a) claim 15 fails to define formula (IV)
- b) claim 17 uses the language "high proportion of terminal double bonds". What is high proportion?
- c) claim 18 refers to formula (V) which is not defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/666,303

Art Unit: 1621

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application
as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29,
2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this
application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the
AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dever et al(US 6,262,310).

Application/Control Number: 09/666,303

Art Unit: 1621

Dever et al teach halogen-free hydroxypolyalkene amine compositions and their use as additives to fuel and lube oil. See for example the summary of invention columns 2-4. Also note in lines 1-2 of column 2 the well-established use of these compounds as fuel and lube oil additives. Applicant is claiming polyalkene amines that are substantially free of halides. Note that one specific claimed substituent is "hydroxyalkyl" (see claim 14). Thus, Dever et al anticipate the instant claimed invention when  $R_{5-6}$  is hydroxyalkyl.

The indefinite claims are either anticipated or obvious. Because applicant refers to structures not specifically recited it is unclear as to whether Dever et al anticipate or render obvious the instant claims. But it is clear that composition of claim 14 does not drastically change due to the limitations of claims 15-20. The composition remains a halogen free halide polyalkene composition.

The fuel and oil additive compositions (claims 21-22) may be obvious because of the specifically recited concentration or weight limitations. The limitations, if not specifically taught by Dever et al, are obvious since one skilled in the art would be motivated to optimized the addition of the halogen-free hydroxypolyalkene amine compositions as an additive to fuel and lube oil compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Barts whose telephone number is 703-308-4630. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,303

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johan Richter can be reached on 308-1235. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Samuel A Barts
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621

s.b.

February 11, 2002